Application No. 09/384,931

Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

Robinson

Examiner

Steven Wong

Group Art Unit 3711



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Steven Wong (3)
(2) David D'Ascenzo (4)
Date of Interview Jun 28, 2001
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Exhibit shown of demonstration conducted. 4/= 103
Claim(s) discussed: 1-18 and 24-43
Identification of prior art discussed: None
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. g was not reached. h N/A .
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Proposed amendment by applicant discussed. Applicant argued the allowability of the claims because of the added
language. The applicant will formally submit the amendment.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
SOBSTANCE OF THE HATERVIEW. See Summary of Necond of Interview requirements on reverse side of an attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.